Strategic Risk Summary – Changes in Net Scores Q2 2024/25

Ref	Risk	Q1 Net	Q2 Net	Travel	Target
SR01	Increased Demand for Adult's Services	12	12	↔	9
SR02	Fragility and failure in the Social Care Market	9	9	↔	9
SR03	Complexity and Demand for Children's Services	12	12	↔	12
SR04	Dedicated School Grant Deficit	16	16	↔	16
SR05	Failure to Protect Vulnerable Children	9	9	↔	6
SR06	Failure to Achieve the MTFS	16	16	↔	9
SR07	Leadership Capacity	12	12	↔	9
SR08	Ability to Achieve Organisation Change	12	12	↔	8
SR09	Recruitment & Retention	9	9	↔	9
SR10	Failure to Manage the Consequences of Policy Uncertainty and National Policy Frameworks	12	12	↔	8
SR11	Failure to Adhere to Agreed Governance Processes	9	9	↔	6
SR12	Stakeholder Expectation & Communication	12	12	↔	9
SR13	Information Security and Cyber Threat	12	12	↔	12
SR14	Business Continuity	9	9	↔	6
SR15	Capital Projects - Place	16	16	↔	12
SR16	Failure of the Local Economy	2	2	↔	2
SR17	CEC Carbon Neutral Status	16	16	↔	16
SR18	Local Planning Authority Modernisation Plan	9	9	↔	6
SR19	Delivery of the ILACS improvement plan	12	12	↔	12
SR20	SEND Inspection	12	12	↔	8





Impact

12 - 16	Critical Risks - Only acceptable in the short to medium-term, requires immediate action implementing and close monitoring
8 - 9	Material Risks - Areas of concern, but due to capacity and or uncontrollable external factors, these can be accepted. Expectation is that these must be actively managed with on-going monitoring to ensure they don't escalate
3 - 6	Moderate Risks - Acceptable level of risk only requiring on-going monitoring to ensure they don't develop into something more serious
1 - 2	Negligible Risks - Lowest level of risk, only kept in the register for completeness and to ensure there are no unexpected changes in the profile

Individual Risk Details – Q2 2024/25

Risk Name: Increased Demand for Adult Se		Risk Owner: Executive Director of Adults, Health, and Integration
Risk Ref: SR01	Date updated: 4 October 2024	Risk Manager: Director of Adult Social Car Operations
Risk Description: An increase in demand for	or adult social services that cannot be met within the existing budget.	
	for services from young adults right through to the elderly. This has been dult health and wellbeing and other socio-economic factors. There has been ansferred to LA i.e. RCRP.	
and increases pressure on other services. T	a of social care, either internal or external to the council, has knock-on e his can cause an on-going downwards trend in adult health and wellbein re and its objective of supporting its most vulnerable individuals. Specifi	ing. In
failures that have been seen are a reduction	in preventative measure and early intervention, which ultimately increa s causes stress related issues and reduces the appeal of working in the	ise ²
NHS, the volume and complexity of demand changes in legislation and resettlement agre	eing pressures placed on residents, council staff, third-party providers a for adult services has increased materially. As have political factors su- ements. Due to several different socio-economic factors recruitment an used use of agency staff. The increase in demand and complexity for se	chas 1 2 3 4 Impact
has not been recognised with increased esta	ablished staffing, resulting in use of Agency Staff to fill the void.	
Interdependencies (risks): Failure of Coun Organisational capacity and demand	cil Funding, Fragility in the social care market, Failure of the local econo	omy, Lead Service Committee: Adults and Health Committee
Key Mitigating Controls (Existing):		ł
 Delivery of market engagement even Programme. 	ents, keeping providers / people informed of preventative change resulti	ing from the People Live Well, for Longer Transformatio
 Contracts and Quality Monitoring P of services, in an effort to reduce se set of fit for the future contracts, de Monthly quality monitoring partners 	olicy Framework, monitoring the user outcomes that partners are delive ervice disruption. Maintaining a provider risk register with the Care Qual signed to ensure quality outcomes for users and ensure provider's busin hip forum that reports to relevant DMTs and the Safeguarding Board. A al, CCG's and ASC lead commissioner.	lity Commission to ensure market oversight. A standard ness models remain sustainable as demand changes.
social care. The sourcing/brokerage	, working collaboratively with partners and local volunteers to channel of e team support the co-ordination of these services, helping vulnerable p	

- Direct payment scheme, allowing users identify and manage their own care support.
- The preventative policy framework standardises the approach to prevention across adult social care "front door. When appropriate, directing users to approved community solutions, which can provide non-traditional benefits to those individuals and help maintain their independence.

OFFICIAL

- Annual financial and resource planning by ASC services, considering expected demand, funding, the local social care market and other socio-economic trends.
- Regular service/team meetings to disseminate information and discuss operational issues.
- Involvement in the North West regional and local programme of work pertaining to health and care staff recruitment, retention, and selection resulting in a robust career path being developed with key partners and in being clear pertaining to local strategy.
- Collaborative working with other services, such Public Health, where objectives align and communication is required to delivery value for money. Utilisation of Public Health JSNA and wider regional data sets inform future planning. The joint commissioning management monthly working group seeks to ensure ASC is working effectively and efficiently with other Children and Family services.
- Engagement with the Integrated Care Partnership, including health partners.
- Regular ASC reporting to CLT and Adult and Health Committee on performance, expenditure/budget and demand. On-going management of services, based on performance, expenditure/budget and demand management information. Trend analysis used to help predict future demand.
- Engagement with service users, collaboration with Healthwatch and other independent organisations to help drive service improvements and cost savings.
- Business continuity assessments and resiliency preparation, both internally and with key partners.
- Implementing recommendations of independent review. All care plans presented to senior leasers board for authorisation of spend.
- Tighter controls on hospital discharge will impact relationship with ICB colleagues.
- 3 times weekly Quality, Performance and Authorisation Board to review every request for care, since the start of this regime over 2000 cases have been reviewed to ensure that the package of care is effective and efficient.
- Weekly Extended leadership meeting to review budget, spend and activity.
- Inner Circle Transformation Partners working alongside ASC staff to transform services and reduce spend.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
Implementation of Impower Consulting review (Weekly CEBERT meetings)	Q4 2023/24

Comments this quarter: As predicted the reduction of agency staff within the service has resulted in increased waiting times for assessments, this reduction has been seen in the services provided during quarter two. All waiting lists are monitored weekly to manage the risks. Providers of services continue to push for increased fees, however we have started to implement the care cubed model to scrutinise care costs, we are working through the analysis of the initial tranche of providers who have been through this process and will report in quarter three. We are seeing a rise in demand from self-funded residents where their funds have reduced to a level that makes them eligible for funded social care and this demand is expected to increase.

Scrutiny of cases continues at 3 x weekly Quality, Performance and Authorisation Boards we are very aware of the risks of falling on the wrong side of the legislative framework where the council could be subject to legal challenge and therefore we review our decision making process to avoid this risk. The demand in terms of the numbers of residents requiring a service continues to be stable however the rise in the complexity of cases is seen in the scale of the assessment process and the financial costs of challenging cases. We continue to meet weekly to discuss risks and budget pressures. The deficit within the Cheshire and Mersey ICB will increase pressure on the council to pick up funding for previously funded health patients and this will require robust challenge and discussion.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: The outcomes from the work commissioned with Impower is being actioned via HLBC, we are monitoring all support and care plans and calls for services on a 3x per week basis, Director is monitoring approx150 cases per week. Demand is constant especially for those who are 90+yrs, and for those with dementia. Cost of individual care packages remains very high with an increasing number£2000 per week.

Risk Name: Fragility and Failure in the	Social Care Market	Risk Owner: Executive Director of Adults, Health and Integration
Risk Ref: SR02	Date updated: 9 October 2024	Risk Manager: Director of Adult an Children's Commissioning
	social care market. Increases in the volume and complexity in demand an the national social care market which have yet to be resolved.	
without these outsourced services the o objective of people living well and for lor of some care providers and result in sor resident's placements. This could lead t endering process which in some cases	nable to deliver a robust adult social care package without the use of third verall social adult care package would fail and the council would not be ab nger. If the Council is unable to increase fees for providers it will impact on ne packages of care being handed back to the Council or notices served o o a need to increase the use of care providers who have not been through could result in higher costs and/or poorer quality. While due diligence is un fully co-operate with this process. It will also bring challenges in managing	ble to achieve its the sustainability on care home tha formal indertaken for
	rward is the financial impacts on providers resulting from the 9.8% uplift in inflation. The current financial position of the Local Authority precludes it f	
nterdependencies (risks): Failure to A	Achieve the MTFS, Business Continuity, Failure of the Local Economy	Lead Service Committee: Adults and Health Committee
Key Mitigating Controls:		and Health Committee
	ng Policy Framework, monitoring the people's outcomes that partners are	delivering.
-	k management oversight tool embedded which provides pertinent intellige	•
	effort to reduce service disruption. This risk matrix connects to an overarc	
0	re contracts, designed to ensure quality outcomes for users and ensure p	rovider's business models remain sustainable as deman
 Increasing the robustness of during the second secon	ue diligence on care providers who have not been through a formal tender	ring process.
 Comprehensive cost of care ex fairly. 	cercise to be undertaken with all care providers to ensure that care packag	ges are sustainable and available resources are distribute
 Delivery of market engagemen Programme. 	t events, keeping providers / people informed of preventative change resu	lting from the People Live Well, for Longer Transformation
The preventative policy framew	vork standardises the approach to prevention across adult social care "fror n provide non-traditional benefits to those individuals and help maintain the	·· · · · ·
Annual financial and resource	planning by ASC services, undertaken capacity and demand modelling, fu t and publication of the Market Sustainability Plan. Completion and submis	inding, the local social care market, and other socio-
	egional and local programme of work pertaining to health and care staff re ith key partners and in being clear pertaining to local strategy.	ecruitment, retention, and selection – resulting in a robust
 Undate of the joint Market Posi 	tion Statement with ICB to ensure that care providers receive timely inform	mation about commissioning intentions

• Update of the joint Market Position Statement with ICB to ensure that care providers receive timely information about commissioning intentions.

- Regular ASC reporting to DLT, CLT and Adult and Health Committee on performance, expenditure/budget and demand. On-going management of services, based on performance, expenditure/budget and demand management information. Trend analysis used to help predict future demand.
- Engagement with service users, collaboration with Healthwatch and other independent organisations to help drive service improvements and cost savings.
- Business continuity assessments and resiliency preparation, both internally and with key partners.
- The Council is working with skills for care to see what support it can give to the market in terms of recruitment and retention and build knowledge. Providers have access to recruiting staff from abroad if there isn't a sufficient supply available locally. Development of a Workforce Strategy for the external care workforce.
- Investigation and investment into new health and care technologies. Use of new technologies to be driven by considering performance, capacity, and value for more against more traditional methods.
- Quality Performance Authorisation Board meets three times a week to ensure that the Council is obtaining value for care money for commissioned placements and making best use of all commissioned block booked assets across the Borough.
- A Transfer of Care Hub has been set up in both hospitals with key staff from CEC and Hospital Trusts co-located to improve joint working and ease pressures on hospital discharge and ensure people are supported through the correct pathway at the point of discharge.
- Commissioners undertake Market Engagement activities with Care at home Providers to Coproduce new models of care. Joint working with 'Skills for Care' to encourage individuals to take up employment within the care sector. 'Hidden Carer's' initiative launched through Carer's Hub to help identify and support carers not known to the system.
- Low level support for the British Red Cross who respond to crisis situations in the community.
- A tool called Care Cubed has been commissioned to assess the actual cost of delivering care for individual care providers and benchmark against market prices.
- On-going engagement and development with the community voluntary faith sector to enhance our offer and support to people.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
Care at home re-commissioning (SRO and Work Programme in place)	September 2025
Accommodation with care re-commission (SRO and Work Programme in place)	September 2025
Comments this quarter: A revised Market Sustainability Delivery Plan for 2024/25 has been introduced which monito	rs the following domains:
A sufficient supply of services to ensure continuity of care with minimal disruption in the event of provider exit	from the market.
A range of high-quality services for people to choose from	
 Sufficient investment in the workforce to attract and retain high-quality staff. 	
Evidence of innovation and service diversity in order to evolve and meet changing user needs.	
 Being attractive to new market entrants and able to manage the impact of future market changes. 	
 Regulatory Quality and Safety Oversight and compliance 	
Provider emerging risks and trends across the provider market	
There is currently only have 1 care home that has been rated as inadequate – Riseley House. They have 10 service us Assurance Team visit the home fortnightly to review the action plan and progress made. The home is discussed in Cor system partners are aware of any risks and issues. The quality assurance officers will check on residents and report ar current care at home waiting list remains at 12 people which equates to 90 hours per week. Operational colleagues collist for care at home. No further risks to note or escalate for Q2.	ntract and Quality governance oversight meeting, so ny concerns to Social Care, safeguarding etc. The
Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: N/A (Net score is equal to target). To a certain extent the risk i	s outside the Council's control as there is a reduced

pool of people who wish to work in Social Care.

		Services				of Children's
Risk Ref: SR03	Date updated: 21 st October 2024	Risk Mar Leadersh	nager: (hip Tearr	Children's า	Service	es Directora
Risk Description: That Cheshire East's local social, economic and demographic factors, including the impact of the pandemic, lead to an increase in the level and/or complexity of need and demand for children's services, which the council cannot meet effectively. This risk would mean that we would not achieve the council's desired outcomes for children and young people as set out in the council's Corporate Plan. The service received growth through the MTFS to help address the pressures but the challenge to deliver to budget and achieve the required savings remains present. Significant action is still required to deliver savings to live within the budget as all indications are that demand, complexity and cost will continue to increase.						Gross Net Target
			1	2 Impa	3 act	4
Interdependencies (risks): Increased Demand for Adult Ser Processes, Organisation Capacity and Demand, Failure to Ad Key Mitigating Controls: • Growth to address budget pressures within services	chieve the MTFS, Failure of the Local Economy		Fai	milies Co	mmittee	hildren and e
 Extensive activity is taking place to manage and red We are closely monitoring the demand to services a We have a range of support available to families thre commissioned services. These services support fam We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing counci intervention, and improving partnership working. Ove Excellence, as well as a new digital offer so families Our approach is to ensure only the right children cor services. We will continue look to identify options for 	luce costs. Ind the reasons that are driving demand so that we can be rest ough early help and prevention services, including council, pa nilies and help prevent needs from escalating and requiring hig il, health and community services together – improving access er the past 12 months the council has opened five family hubs can also access advice and information online. me into care; this is right for children and young people and wi r children to live within their family networks where this is the b	ponsive and rtner, volum gher level in s and reach across the Il also reduce post outcom	d mitigat tary, cor terventi of servi boroug ce dema e for the	e any ris nmunity, on. ces, supp h, includii and to Ch em.	ks to se faith se porting e ng a SE ildren's	ector and earlier END Centre Social Care
 Extensive activity is taking place to manage and red We are closely monitoring the demand to services a We have a range of support available to families thre commissioned services. These services support fam We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing counci intervention, and improving partnership working. Ove Excellence, as well as a new digital offer so families Our approach is to ensure only the right children cor services. We will continue look to identify options for We are continuing to support children and young per 	luce costs. Ind the reasons that are driving demand so that we can be rest ough early help and prevention services, including council, pa nilies and help prevent needs from escalating and requiring hig il, health and community services together – improving access er the past 12 months the council has opened five family hubs can also access advice and information online. me into care; this is right for children and young people and wi r children to live within their family networks where this is the b opple who are most vulnerable through the Household Support	ponsive and rtner, volum gher level in s and reach s across the Il also reduce pest outcom	d mitigat tary, cor terventi of servi boroug ce dema e for the	e any ris nmunity, on. ces, supp h, includii and to Ch em.	ks to se faith se porting e ng a SE ildren's	ector and earlier END Centre Social Care
 Extensive activity is taking place to manage and red We are closely monitoring the demand to services a We have a range of support available to families thre commissioned services. These services support fam We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing counci intervention, and improving partnership working. Ove Excellence, as well as a new digital offer so families Our approach is to ensure only the right children cor services. We will continue look to identify options for We are continuing to support children and young per Actions (Monitoring): 	luce costs. Ind the reasons that are driving demand so that we can be ressough early help and prevention services, including council, panilies and help prevent needs from escalating and requiring highlight in health and community services together – improving accesser the past 12 months the council has opened five family hubstic can also access advice and information online. The into care; this is right for children and young people and wird reading the the family networks where this is the base who are most vulnerable through the Household Support Target Date for Com	ponsive and rtner, volum gher level in s and reach s across the Il also reduce pest outcom	d mitigat tary, cor terventi of servi boroug ce dema e for the	e any ris nmunity, on. ces, supp h, includii and to Ch em.	ks to se faith se porting e ng a SE ildren's	ector and earlier END Centre Social Care
 Extensive activity is taking place to manage and red We are closely monitoring the demand to services a We have a range of support available to families thre commissioned services. These services support fam We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing counci intervention, and improving partnership working. Ove Excellence, as well as a new digital offer so families Our approach is to ensure only the right children cor services. We will continue look to identify options for We are continuing to support children and young per Actions (Monitoring): Review and realign children's services to deliver better outcons senior leadership team) Review and strengthen how we support children at child in ne escalating (Children's social care senior leadership team)	Ince costs. and the reasons that are driving demand so that we can be respondent of the reasons that are driving demand so that we can be respondent. and the reasons that are driving demand so that we can be respondent. and help and prevention services, including council, pathilies and help prevent needs from escalating and requiring highlight in the community services together – improving accesser the past 12 months the council has opened five family hubble can also access advice and information online. me into care; this is right for children and young people and wire children to live within their family networks where this is the brople who are most vulnerable through the Household Support Target Date for Commons for less (Children's social care March 2027 eed to prevent their needs from March 2027	ponsive and rtner, volum gher level in s and reach s across the Il also reduce pest outcom	d mitigat tary, cor terventi of servi boroug ce dema e for the	e any ris nmunity, on. ces, supp h, includii and to Ch em.	ks to se faith se porting e ng a SE ildren's	ector and earlier END Centre Social Care
 Extensive activity is taking place to manage and red We are closely monitoring the demand to services a We have a range of support available to families thracommissioned services. These services support fam We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing councilintervention, and improving partnership working. Ove Excellence, as well as a new digital offer so families Our approach is to ensure only the right children cor services. We will continue look to identify options for We are continuing to support children and young per Actions (Monitoring): 	Iuce costs. and the reasons that are driving demand so that we can be resolved and prevention services, including council, pathilies and help prevent needs from escalating and requiring highlight and community services together – improving accesser the past 12 months the council has opened five family hubston can also access advice and information online. me into care; this is right for children and young people and with r children to live within their family networks where this is the boole who are most vulnerable through the Household Support Target Date for Comments for less (Children's social care March 2027 our approach (Children's social care	ponsive and rtner, volum gher level in s and reach s across the ll also reduce oest outcom <u>Fund and t</u> pletion:	d mitigat tary, cor terventi of servi boroug ce dema e for the he Holic	e any ris nmunity, on. ces, supp h, includii and to Ch em. day Activi	ks to se faith se porting e ng a SE ildren's	ector and earlier END Centre Social Care

A new Executive Director of Children's Services and Director of Improvement are now in post. These two post holders have an established track record of transforming services to deliver better outcomes for children and young people and also achieving savings. New arrangements have been put in place to strengthen the oversight of children's outcomes and to review opportunities for developing services to deliver better for less. This work will be aligned with the council's transformation programme. Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: March 2026

Risk Name: Dedicated School Grant Deficit		Risk Owner: Executive Director of Childr Services						
Risk Ref: SR04	Date updated: 30 th October 2024	Risk Ma Director	anag				s	
The final outturn position for the financial year 2 279.5M compared to the forecast deficit of £89. deficit identified through the Safety Valve progravith an education, health and care plan greatly ssue and local authorities are required to hold position is unaffordable and unsustainable. The Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUF Education and the DLUHC.	ledicated schools grant (DSG) continues to rise and/or is not recoverable. 2023-24 outlines a reduced deficit position of the DSG high needs budget of .6M resulting in a reduction of £10.1m. The mitigated cumulative forecast reserve ramme is £285m. This is in part as a result of the growth in the number of pupils receeding the funding and insufficient local placement options. This is a national a negative reserve for this purpose which is in place until March 2026. This e arrangements beyond March 2026 are not confirmed by the Department for HC). This risk continues to be a feature of ongoing liaison with the Department for a reserve deficit is not recoverable. Significant action is required to deliver saving that demand, complexity and cost will continue to increase.	L Likelihood	4 3 2 1	1	2	3 pact	Gross Net Target	
	d for Adult Services, Insufficient and Non-Compliance with Financial Processes, Achieve the MTFS, Failure of the Local Economy	Lead	d Se		Committ ies Com		ldren and	
 more help from the government to bala authorities' high needs systems and e control. We submitted detailed plans to with Cheshire East as Cheshire East's reasonably afford in comparison to oth to work with us over the coming month The DSG management plan is in place that have been put in place. A revised committee also received an update on plan. 	an invitation from the Department for Education to start negotiations to join their S ance our budget. The aim of the Safety Valve programme is to agree a package insure this is delivered in a sustainable way, for the benefit of children and young to the government in January 2024. In March 2024 Ministers advised that they are a Safety Valve submission included an ask of the Department which is significant ther agreements. Ministers recognise that the DSG deficit as forecasted poses as the, alongside colleagues from DLUHC, to seek an appropriate solution. to monitor the impact of demand to SEND services on financial pressures and d DSG management plan for 2024/25 to 2030/31 was approved by the Children in the Safety Valve submission. The Children and Families Committee is receiving	of reform people, v e currentl ly above to substantia monitor th and Fami y monthly	to ir whils y un the l al ris ne de lies upd	nprove at bringi able to evel wh k to Ch elivery Commi ates or	the pering DSG enter in nich the eshire E and imp ittee on n the DS	formance deficits nto an ag Departm East, and pact of m 29 April G mana	ee of local s under greement nent coul d propose nitigations 2024. Th agement	
 Families Committee in September 202 been refreshed to include priority action There is significant capital investment placements. As part of the Safety Valve more specialist provision. 	ficiency statement for 2023/24 to 2025/26, and the SEND strategy, which were re 23. The SEN sufficiency statement sets out the additional provision needed over ons relating to the mitigations with the revisited DSG management plan 2024-203 in local SEND provision to meets children's needs more locally but also reduce over over programme we were invited to submit a Capital bid. The bid was successful a	the next t 81. Jependen	hree	years. n high	. The SE	END stra	ategy has nt school	
The capital grant will allow us to create 3 x special school satellite sit 1 x 14 place new SEN unit	e the following tes providing in total 140 additional places							

1 x 14 place new SEN unit

- Generic funding to support the refurbishment/adaption of space within mainstream settings which could support the current demand by way of resource provisions and/or SEN units.
- •
- We are embedding a graduated approach and inclusion across all schools and settings and strengthening SEN support.
- We participated in the DfE's delivering better value (DBV) programme to support the council to achieve a more sustainable financial position in relation to SEND. This
 identified two priority areas of cultural change that will make the biggest difference on managing demand inclusive practice and transition. Cheshire East has been
 awarded £1 million to support the delivery of this transformational change. These areas have been incorporated within our SEND Strategy.
- A fundamental review and realignment exercise for children's services will be carried out to future-proof services to deliver differently for less as part of our integrated children's services 4-year strategy.
- We have a range of support available to families through early help and prevention services, including council, partner, voluntary, community, faith sector and commissioned services. These services support families and help prevent needs from escalating and requiring higher level intervention.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
A fundamental review and realignment exercise for children's services will be carried out to future-	March 2027
proof services to deliver differently for less as part of our integrated children's services 4-year	
strategy. (Progress will be monitored through the MTFS arrangements)	
Delivery of the delivering better value implementation plan (Children's services senior leadership	March 2025
team)	
Continue to increase SEND provision in Cheshire East (Reviewed quarterly)	September 2024
Embed the graduated approach and inclusion across all schools and settings and strengthen SEN	September 2025
support (Reviewed quarterly)	
Implement the Safety Valve plan (Quarterly by the DfE if accepted onto the programme. If not	March 2031
accepted the plan will be monitored internally through a CEX lead board with member involvement).	

Comments this quarter: The in year forecast deficit is £42.9m, resulting a forecast cumulative deficit of £121.6m x 31 March 25. The DSG management plan has been reprofiled to take in to account of the reduced growth of EHCP numbers (13.5% EHCP growth - 5.5% lower than anticipated) and a considerably lower deficit position than forecast on 31 March 2024 (£10 million reduction in the deficit position – giving a position of £79 million).

A report to demonstrate the impact of reprofiling the DSG management plan will be reported to Children and Families Committee on 11 November 2024. The unmitigated forecast deficit is £1.07 billion 2030/31 and the mitigated forecast deficit is £237 million, a reduction of £48 million.

The overall strategy remains the same, of RIGHT SUPPORT, RIGHT PLACE, RIGHT TIME. Elements of the plan include increased specialist provision. The timely opening of the provisions is reliant on circumstances which maybe out of our control and therefore carry a risk, such as delivering by the Department of Education, planning approvals and public consultations. Increased specialist provision include: a new free special school, which will be delivered by the Department of Education (DfE), additional special school satellite sites and additional resource provisions/SEN units within mainstream schools. The newly created SEND Transformation Team, although not fully operational, is helping to drive forward key mitigations detailed in the DSG management plan.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: March 2025. We aim to see an incremental reduction in the risk as we implement our plan. By the end of March 2025, we aim for the risk to be reduced to a score of 12, then to see this continue to reduce over the following years with aim to be at an acceptable level of risk by 2026.

sk Name: Failure to Protect Vulnerable Children			Risk Owner: Executive Director of Childre					Children	
Risk Ref: SR05	Date updated: 31 st October 2024		Risk Manager: Quality and Impac				d Impact	act Board	
isk Description: The risk, that as a part of the local safeguarding children's partnership, Cheshire East Council's children's ervices are unable to fulfil their responsibilities relating to the protection of vulnerable children at risk of criminal exploitation and child sexual abuse. To do this Cheshire East seeks to be an effective and collaborative partner in the partnership. Ofsted re responsible for conducting inspections into the quality of children's social care provided by Cheshire East and as the local uthority responsible Cheshire East is continually looking to meet those expectations in an ever-changing and challenging nvironment.			4				Gross		
		the quality of children's social care provided by Cheshire East and as the local		3			Net		
environment.				Target					
				1					
					1	2	3	4	
						In	npact		
terdependencies (risks): Increased Demand	d for Adult Services, Complexity and Demand for Children's Services		Lead Service Committee: Children and Families Committee				and		

Key Mitigating Controls:

- The Quarterly Quality and Impact board has oversight of the Multi Agency Safeguarding Arrangements. There are 3 Statutory Partners; Health, Local Authority and Police. The Statutory Partners form the Cheshire East Safeguarding Children's Partnership. Working Together 2023 outlines the responsibility of the Statutory Partners to involve other agencies.
- A Children's Partnership Executive Board is in place which consists of the Chief Executive of the council, Chief Constable and Chief Nurse, which scrutinises partnership progress against the improvement plan.
- Ofsted regularly inspect the Local Authority and the partnership arrangements.
- The partnership ensures awareness within all agencies by proving regular training focused on exploitation. The training facilitates communication, increased knowledge and understanding and working together.
- We have an Exploitation Strategy, practice guidance, and training for frontline practitioners. This all ensures there is a clear partnership approach to supporting children and young people at risk of exploitation. This requires updating before the end of January 2025 which will provide a clear oversight of governance and practice standards across the partnership.
- There is a shared understanding of the children and young people who are at risk of exploitation across the partnership.
- There are regular multi agency audit of practice are completed for children at risk of exploitation to evaluate the impact of changes on quality of practice.
- Committee will have oversight through the annual report and any inspection reports.
- Quarterly reports are developed through the Learning and Improvement sub group and the CS/SOC Strategic group to provide the direct line of sight from practitioners to Executive members.
- Voice of the child case study is presented at every Executive Board. This demonstrates the impact that services and intervention are making for the child.
- Improvement board following the recent Ofsted inspection and this has oversight of the ILACS Improvement plan, with independent scrutiny from the DfE.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion
CS SOC Impact report to be presented to the Quality and Impact board (Quality and Impact Board)	Q3 2024

Comments this quarter: A review and reflections session has taken place within the Strategic Contextual Safeguarding board and has evidenced that there are areas of practice, as recommended in the JTAI, that we are currently not meeting. There has been an increase in children being identified as high risk of exploitation and there are a number of the cohort who are not in education. This is a significant risk regarding safeguarding. We are not able to provide evidence or data to demonstrate if we have safely reduced the number of children coming into care as a result of exploitation.

The Contextual Safeguarding strategy ends in January 2025 and a number of changes are proposed to how this risk is managed and monitored and therefore there is a lack of clarity around the expectations of the partnership. There is currently a single Team Manager leading the Contextual Safeguarding operational work and this seems a significant risk that this is a single point of failure. An increase in the net score is not recommended at this time. Whilst the review and reflections findings evidenced some gaps, this is broadly in line with expectations. These will be addressed through the strategy being updated and providing clear oversight to the partnership of the practice standards. This shows the oversight of this cohort through the CS SOC Strategic Board and the Quality and Impact Executive Board is working as intended.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: December 2024

Risk Name: Failure to Achieve the MTFS			Risk Owner: Executive Director of Resources (S151 Officer)						
Risk Ref: SR06	Date updated: 12 th November 2024	Risk I S151	Mana	ager: Di			ce (Depu		
Risk Description: Failure to achieve approved chan expected timescales will undermine the Council's at	nges and manage net spending within the MTFS agreed by Council with bility to manage its budget effectively in the current and future years.	n the	4				Gross Net		
stability, ultimately leading to reduction in the service	duce the Councils reserves and may endanger its medium-term financial es it can provide to its residents, due to having to issue a Section 114 No presult in the Council having to repay those monies.		3 2			Target			
Drivers of likelihood: Key drivers of this risk are a lack of effective strategic and/ or operational management, and a lack of ability and/ or authority to mplement change, along with global and national events adversely affecting levels of inflation and prices.		′ to	1						
				1	2 Im	3 ipact	4		
Interdependencies (risks): all		Lead Comn			mmitte	e: Corpo	orate Poli		
 Use of quality financial systems, with appro- Balanced budget to put into effect the Cour of general reserves MTFS sets out how the Council will deliver 	udent assumptions based on professional judgement and external advic opriate training and subsequent audit of their effectiveness to provide ma ncil's service plans for the forthcoming year, matched by available fundir the Council's Corporate Plan clearance process which ensures provision of relevant information on fil	nagement a ig and includ	ling a	a risk as	sessme	ent of the	e adequa		
makers.	inges or updates to Finance and Contract Procedure Rules with the Cons	•	cian	iu fisk e.	xposure		JECISION		
 Sources of specialist advice and guidance Budget monitoring, comparing actual perfo form of forward-looking forecast outturn rep 	rmance against approved budget, is undertaken throughout the financial ports	year and pr	esen	ited to s	ervice	committe	es, in th		
 Risk-based approach to the use of reserve restore them to risk-assessed levels during 		ot depleted	witho	out first	identify	ing a stra	ategy to		
 Where a residual deficit is forecast in a final 									

- use of reserves
- use of general balances

- Treasury Management Strategy to manage the Council's cash flows, including an investment strategy focused on the security of principal sums and a borrowing strategy to manage interest payable and other charges
- A Capital Strategy that prioritises capital investment programmes, identifies the financial impact of investment in schemes and limits the amount of unsupported borrowing to be taken
- Engagement with government departments related to financial models and consultation
- Education and training for officers and Members, including financial management in local government, the CIPFA FM Code, and Finance and Contract Procedure rules
- Reporting of status and action plan on CIPFA FM Code
- Budget management regime implemented early in 2023/24 to track activities relating to delivery of approved budget changes
- Spending management regime implemented in October 2023, to control and reduce spending on staffing and supplies and services; review fees and charges to customers; and approaches to charging costs to capital projects and using capital receipts
- Strategic Financial Management Board, with a number of groups Procurement; Strategic Assets; Workforce Oversight; Financial Management each with terms of
 reference and various assigned tasks; and with a further link for all groups/ activities to the Transformation Programme. any Directorate forecasting spend >5% over
 approved budget is subject to focussed budget management, reporting progress to SFMB weekly

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
Ongoing reporting of spending management effectiveness and latest forecasts to SFMB/CLT (Weekly reporting and review by SFMB/CLT)	March 2025
Directly or via professional or political networks, liaise with Government departments on the severity of the many financial issues (Reporting to CLT, and to Members in the MTFS update)	February 2025
Preparation and approval of the 2025/26 annual budget (Formal Financial Reviews to Committees; with progress on al change proposals reported to each committee cycle)	February 2025

Comments this quarter: No change to the risk ratings. The Council's Second Financial Review (FR2) shows a forecast £20.1m overspend for the current financial year. This was reported to Finance Sub-Committee on 7 November and will also be reported to service committees through the November cycle of meetings.

Whilst an improvement on the First Financial Review by £6.5m, the forecast overspend remains a significant financial challenge for the Council. After agreed movements, reserves are currently estimated to be £10m (£0.5m General Fund balance; £9.5m in earmarked reserves); clearly this is insufficient to cover the current forecast outturn and further action is required. The forecast does not assume use of the £17.6m Exceptional Financial Support (EFS). If spending cannot be brought back into line with budget, it will be necessary to take up the EFS and plan for its financing.

The Council faces a significant four-year funding gap, with the shortfall in 2025/26 identified in February 2024 MTFS estimated at £41.9m. There is a risk that pressures leading to the latest FR2 forecast position may increase that shortfall figure if further rapid action does not take place to stabilise our financial position. As noted in Q1, the Council's Transformation Programme is underway and its outcomes will help to shape the development of the Council's updated MTFS for 2025-29.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: March 2025

Risk Name: Leadership Capacity			Risk O	wne	r: Chief	f Execut	tive	
Risk Ref: SR07	Date updated: 15 th October 2024		Risk M	ana	ger: He	ad of HI	R	
team are not functioning. These limit its capac	ancies and temporary acting up arrangements in place across CEC's ity and prevents the team from operating as effectively as possible. W organisation is unable to flex and be respond to its challenges.	leadership /ithout the		4				Gross
challenges as well as the Council's requireme	e to achieve priorities, which is ever more critical in light of current fina nt to deliver a large-scale transformation programme. It could also be Id otherwise be achieved. Without maintaining value for money throug s reduced.	the case that	Likelihood	3			Target	Net
retain individuals for senior management posit	ection 114 notice and impact on recruitment and retention. Failure to re ions. Failure to complete DMA exercise and implement a revised struct eadership team. Failure to communicate and motivate the wider work	tructure, Failure		1				
mplement management development for the r		orce.			1	2 Impa	3	4
Interdependencies (risks): All other strategic Key Mitigating Controls:	and operational risks.		Lead S Commi		ce Con	nmittee:	: Corpo	orate Pol
 Council Constitution and decision-ma Corporate Plan and Annual Service E Support from Governance functions. Leadership team recruitment process Leadership team performance manage Organisation structure and internal re Workforce Strategy 	ses, including skills and experience requirements. gement processes.							
Actions (Monitoring):		Target D	ate for (Com	pletion	:		
organisational structure and implement new st	MA) exercise from Heads of Service level to develop a revised ructure (Introduction of new populated structure) and WLC (Evaluation of programme once completed and sessions	01/11/202						
delivered)								
Interim arrangements for key posts (Interim re	cruitment exercise to a number of key posts)	31/05/202	24					
Comments this quarter: A consultation proce	ess on a proposed new senior management structure has been condu oss the organisation. The recruitment process will start in November.	cted and the r	ecruitme	ent to	o the ne	ew senio	or mana	agement
	Director, Place. The postholder will commence with the Council in No stholders take up their new positions. An Interim Executive Director of							

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: November 2024

Risk Name: Ability to Achieve Organisation Change			Risk (Own	er: Assi	stant Chie	ef Exec	utive
Risk Ref: SR08	Date updated: November 2024		Risk I	Mana	ager: Di	rector of -	Transfo	ormation
Risk Description: The risk that the council is unable to achieve of focus on transformation as people focus on the delivery of busine commissioners advised that organisational change capacity is a k to achieve medium to long term change that will support achieven being issued, organisational change capacity is also essential to co	ss as usual. Recently received advice from governmen ey ingredient to support the council in delivering transfo nent of savings and also, in the event of a section 114 i	it ormation		4				Gross
Potential impacts: The council is required to deliver transformation section 114. If a section 114 notice is issued and commissioners i	activity at pace in order to balance the budget and av		Likelihood	3				Net
estimated at up to £200K per commissioner and there are usually more prudent to ensure that there is capacity for organisational tradamage.	2-6 commissioners, for a period of up to 5 years. Ther	efore it is	Ē	2				Target
Drivers of likelihood: A focus on delivery of frontline and statutory	services and a de-prioritisation of corporate enabling t	functions		1				
A lack of clear governance and oversight of delivery of transforma programme. Failure to recruit and retain individuals for senior mar	tion. No clearly identified medium- and long-term trans nagement positions. Failure to identify and implement r	formation equired			1	2 Impa	3 ct	4
change initiatives effectively and in a timely fashion. Failure to ove boor performing individuals and to communicate and motivate the		ng with						
nterdependencies (risks): Recruitment and Retention, Failure to			Lead Comn			nmittee:	Corpor	ate Polic
 Key Mitigating Controls: A "doing things differently" initial list of change proposals A weekly Strategic Finance Management Board (SFMB) targets A Bright Ideas scheme is in operation to enable the work A new Corporate Plan has been consulted on to clarify the Appointment of a Delivery Partner to provide additional of Formation and weekly meetings of the transformation bottom 	meeting is in place with Senior Level Membership to re force to contribute their ideas for transformation he vision and priorities for Cheshire East apacity and to produce the council transformation plan ard phase of transformation	eview the a					udget s	avings
 Establishment of a core project team to support the next 		Target Da	ato for	Con	npletior	า:		
Actions (Monitoring):								
Actions (Monitoring): Strategic CLT discussion planned on structure necessary to achie	ve transformation.	January 2	025					
Actions (Monitoring):	ve transformation. d to revised structure for phase 3 (March 25 onwards) risk rating, The Transformation Plan was submitted to	January 2 January 2 MHCLG a	025 025 ahead	of the	e require ing:	ed deadlir	ne and	the

Identification of CEC Sponsor, supported by Programme Directors from ICC

Further work to refine the more than 100 opportunities that were original identified is ongoing and will be concluded by early December, at which point the broad range of savings target (£59m £91m) will be refined in more detail. In addition to this the independent assurance Board has met twice and several staff engagement session have taken place with more planned in Q3 and Q4

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: TBC

		Risk Owner: Executive Director of Resources, Section 151 Officer						
isk Ref: SR09 Date updated: 10 th October 2024		Risk Manager: Head of HR						
Corporate Plan, LGA Corporate Peer Challenge	skilled and motivated staff is required to allow the organisation to delive Action Plan, Children's Improvement Plan and its transformation progra es operational changes which allow the council to adapt and improve.			4				Gross
Impact of the risk occurring: High staff turnover and, or skills shortages, insufficient capacity within services. Failure to achieve annual budget and deliver the council's transformation and improvement programme and a detrimental impact upon the physical, emotional, and mental wellbeing of staff.		_ikelihood	3			Net Target		
			Likel	2				
Drivers of failure: National and local demographics alongside exte	ivers of failure: ational and local demographics alongside external factors led to increasing and changing demands on services. Increases to			1				
	nce and wellbeing of our workforce and therefore the capacity to respond I as current financial challenges. WorkplaCE programme and the DMA re				1	2 Im	3 pact	4
	y, Increased demand for Adults Services, Complexity and Demand for C	hildren's	Lead Comr			nmittee	: Corpor	ate Pol
Key Mitigating Controls:								

- Workforce planning is in place via the Council's Workforce Strategy 2021-2025. This is a 4-year strategy with ongoing review. Work is underway on the development of a new Workforce Strategy for 2025-2028. Service Workforce Plans are also undertaken on an annual basis as part of the wider business planning process to review and support workforce planning on a service-by-service level
- Benchmarking exercises and workforce metrics are used to identify potential issues and service workforce plans developed as above to mitigate. Work on the refinement of a workforce assessment for the Council has been completed and a monthly workforce dashboard is available to identify potential issues. The workforce assessment is then updated twice a year, to ensure services have regular focused workforce data available.
- Focused apprenticeship levy funding, specific succession planning and talent management initiatives are used to support high priority areas. This is supported by the introduction of a manager and director dashboard on Learning Lounge that will help the identification of training and skills gaps.
- Recruitment and retention programme has delivered attendance at a programme of local and regional recruitment fairs, an end-to-end review of the recruitment process, improved recruitment advertising, an employee offer brochure, a review, and the planned implementation of additional employee benefits, a social work academy in Children's Services and the development of additional career pathways. The introduction of employee profile videos on social media and on Cheshire East Council's website to enhance the Council's profile have also been introduced. Further work will be undertaken to streamline the recruitment process to ensure improved efficiency and a better user experience.
- Review of the provision of agency staff, including an audit of spending, to reduce reliance and transition to a more stable permanent workforce base with reduced costs has also been undertaken. The Council has implemented the provisions of the Government proposal on capping the pay rates for agency social workers and has also engaged with the proposals for capping agency pay rates for Children's Social Workers as part of the Greater Manchester Pledge.
- Analysis of exit interview and questionnaire data with the relevant Executive Director to support the retention of staff.
- Wellbeing and engagement support, including delivery of EAP services, the introduction of 'In the Know' sessions for all staff, a revitalised recognition scheme, monthly organisation wide wellbeing updates for all staff, and the promotion of the government funded initiative Able Futures.
- Senior manager support in the redesign and restructure of services to meet MTFS targets, including MARS to minimise the impact on the workforce. A workforce planning toolkit is now in place to support services in identifying skills gaps and identify actions to address any identified gaps.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
Recruitment to new senior management structure	April 2025
Introduction of a range of additional employee benefits, enhancing the existing offer (Monthly review by HRMT/Ongoing	September 2024
briefing to CLT on progress and implementation).	
Use Pulse Survey and Exit Interview data results to gauge employee satisfaction (Reviewed by HRMT and shared with	On-going
DMTs).	
Completion of a transformation skills audit (Reviewed by HRMT monthly)	July 2024

Comments this quarter: No change to the risk ratings at this time. A consultation process on a proposed new senior management structure has been conducted and the recruitment to the new senior management structure, once approved, will increase capacity across the organisation. The recruitment process will start in November.

The new benefits platform has been launched and introduces to the organisation a series of additional benefits for all employees, improving the employee value proposition and bring CEC in line with its competitors. A transformation skills audit has been completed providing further insight into transformation skills across all directorates.

A further review of the establishment in Children's Services has provided accurate data in relation to vacancies and the skills gap needed to be filled to support the improvement journey. An Interim Executive Director of Children's Services and an interim Improvement Director joined CEC in September. Cultural workshops have been held in Children's Services and an interim services and an interim services to support from an OD perspective the retention of employees.

Recent Government announcements about the proposed reforms to the apprenticeship levy will need to be considered in more detail in quarter 3 once further information is available post the Budget on 30th October, 2024. These may impact on the Council's ability to use the apprenticeship levy as it has up until now to recruit and retain staff in hard to fill areas such as Social Work.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: N/A

Risk Name: Failure to mana	age the Consequences of Policy Uncertainty and National Policy Frameworks				er: G Offic		nce, Cor	npliance	and
Risk Ref: SR10	Date updated: 10 th October 2024		Risk	Man		Govern	nance, C	omplian	ce and
	that the council cannot adequately understand and react to national policy changes or effect of the risk covers all central and local government decisions which relate to the operations of			4					
	the council's decision-making, it informs what is considered a 'good' policy outcome and are ay result in stakeholders no longer being aligned with that current plan. Central government		Likelihood	3				Gross M	let
	pact the council in many ways, while other risks might draw out specific changes and capture s together the total effect of political uncertainty for consideration.	e their	Like	2				Targe	t
			1						
					1	2	3 mpact	4	твс
Interdependencies (risks): to Agreed Governance Proc	: Stakeholder Expectations and Communications, Failure to Achieve the MTFS, Failure the Achieve the MTFS, Failure the Achieve the Achi			I Ser mitte			•	rporate F	-
 Engagement with p Engagement with g Induction, on-going Service Committee Corporate Plan and Preparation for ele Forward planning f The development a 	I funding agreement processes, governance process for ad hoc grants political administration of CEBC group leaders of CEBC parties g training and committee briefings for CEBC members e support and briefings for members and senior officers d MTFS regular and ad hoc (post material changes) review process, including contingency p ctions and promoting engagement in democracy. for each committee's policy development and areas of political sensitivity and delivery of the Corporate Plan ction & training programme	blanning							
Actions (Monitoring):						r Comp	letion		
	priefing process to increase flexibility to draw out impact on residents more clearly			/202					
	s awaiting the outcome of its review		2024				-	ted by th	
quarter, but clarification will council's plans to adapt to th	lo material changes to the risk, the impact of the new government's first budget and plans for begin to emerge with the first budget in October 2024. Oflog's long term role and remit are o he current landscape are central to the transformation plan, and there are Corporate Peer Cl	currently hallenge	und acti	er re\ ons.	view. İ	n organ	isationa	l terms,	the
	isk to an acceptable level: Controls that mitigate this risk are based on the current landsca not completely within the council's gift.	pe and t	imet	able	or loc	al and r	national	elections	s. The

Risk Name: Failure to Adhe	sk Name: Failure to Adhere to Agreed Governance Processes						Risk Owner: Director of Governance, Co and Monitoring Officer						
isk Ref: SR11	Date updated: 10 th October 2024	Risk	Risk Manager: Governand Monitoring Officer										
egally obligated to deliver, in naking within the council is, nust comply with regulatory Detailed consequences: Rol Governance processes show in achieving other goals. Ulti vellbeing of residents. Failu lecision-making protocols, of nay be, public censure, fina Detailed causes: The volume iffering stakeholder views, of governance failures are: Variations in interpre- Deviation from core	rpretation and non-compliance with agreed process and internal controls. ore objectives as result of prioritising presenting issues. e limited resources in line with the requirements of agreed objectives.		Likelihood	4 3 2 1	1	2 In	Net Target 3	Gross					
apacity, Ability to Achieve olicy Frameworks ey Mitigating Controls:	Failure to Achieve the MTFS, Stakeholder Expectation & Communication, Leadership Organisation Change, Failure to Manage the Consequences of Policy Uncertainty and Nation s decision making processes, including finance and contract procedure rules. The Constitution	Com	mitte	e			Corporat						
nsure legal compliance and	d operational continuity. Following the adoption of the Committee system, mechanisms were Working Group). The number, nature and terms of references of the Committees are assess	out in pla	ace t	o caj	pture M	lember's	s feedba	ck and are					
ervices, and Audit and Risle egulatory changes and Full	allable document; guidance on the use of the decision-making processes is provided by enable. Constitutional updates are overseen (recommended and administrated) by the Governance Council decisions. Administration of local, regional and national elections and monitoring of	e, Comp behaviou	oliano ur in f	ce ar the p	nd Moni eriod o	itoring C f height	Officer in ened sei	response					

beforehand. During which time, appropriate adjustments are made to the publishing or reporting of controversial issues or anything that seeks to influence voters. Reports to Committees are developed and reviewed by senior officers and enabler sign off, briefings are arranged with Committee Members to address any further knowledge requirements ahead of the relevant meeting. All decisions are formally recorded in meeting minutes and administrated in line with delegated authorities as per the constitution.

Schemes of delegation; local and financial are in place to provide clarity on responsibilities ensure separation of duties is in place where required and minimise the risk of inappropriate management override.

Assurance mechanisms on the organisations' compliance with it's decision-making processes are provided through the external audit (Statement of Accounts) and the work of the Internal Audit team. Internal Audit's assurance is achieved through the development and delivery of an annual plan and follow-up monitoring of agreed actions. There are other external inspections, such as Ofsted, which may examine elements of our decision-making processes through their work, although this is not usually the primary focus. The organisation publishes an Annual Governance Statement identifying significant governance issues which have occurred, any known areas which may cause issues if not managed effectively and updates on issues previously identified.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion
Review of shared service governance arrangements with specific actions to be identified	2024/25

Comments this quarter: There remains a high volume of change and decision making required during 2024/25 and ensuring our processes enable timely and lawful decision making, and there is compliance with them, is key to the future successful operations of the council and its ability to avoid a section 114 notice.

Quarter 2 has seen a number of key reports and decisions made to ensure the Council sets out it's response to the Corporate Peer Challenge, and has the necessary arrangements in place to deliver these, and to provide oversight on the implementation of the action plan. Details of some of these are highlighted below.

At the meeting of Council in July, the Cheshire East Plan 2024-25, as recommended by the Corporate Policy Committee in June was approved, as well as plans for the development of a new strategic plan. The adoption on the 24-25 plan provides a model for the development of the next strategic plan as well as a simpler view of the strategic vision, aims, priorities and associated actions of the Council, a key framework for decision-making, monitoring and performance and risk management.

This Council meeting also considered the Corporate Peer Challenge report issued by the Local Government Association and a draft of the action plan developed in response to the recommendations. The final version was brought to Corporate Policy Committee in August for agreement. Council also approved the establishment of an Assurance Panel to support the Council with the changes recommended by the Peer Review.

August's Corporate Policy Committee agenda included a number of key decisions to shape ongoing transformation and improvement, including the approval of the transformation plan, to ensure submission to central government by the deadline, the approval of the Corporate Peer Challenge action plan, again, to ensure compliance with the required timescale, and the approval of the Senior Management Structure following the Decision-Making Accountability (DMA) review.

July's Audit and Governance Committee received the annual Head of Audit Opinion for 2023/24. As anticipated in a report to the Committee n May, whilst sufficient work had been undertaken to deliver the opinion, the Council's framework of risk management, governance, and internal control was assessed as "limited" for that period. In providing that opinion, it is recognised that the Council is in a period of significant financial pressure, and on a journey of transformation and change, and reports to the Committee on the 24/25 plan will provide an assessment of how the implementation of plans is delivering positive change to the framework.

As part of the Q3 review of this risk, the Notice of Motion in relation to the Council's Governance Arrangements approved by the October Council meeting will be considered; this may change the scope and nature of the existing risk.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: TBC

OFFICIAL

Risk Name: Stakeholder Expectations and Communication			Risk Owner: Assistant Chief Executive						
Risk Ref: SR12	Date updated: 16 th October 2024	Risk Manager: Head of Engagement & Communications							
ts communication and engag council's actions, nor approp evel of service to its resident	at the council does not understand the expectations of its stakeholders and that ement with those stakeholders does not result in their understanding of the iate involvement and influence. The council has an obligation to provide as high a s as its funding will allow. This requires not only considering both the short and ations of all of its stakeholders.		4			Net	Gross		
	ndersting and poor communication and/or failure to effectively engage with ge to the council's reputation, if this is severe enough it may result in poor	l ikelihood	3			Target			
performance, increased comp ncreased staff turnover and i	plaints, regulatory inspection, challenge from central government, low morale, nake the borough a less desirable place to live and work in. Consultation fatigue e, reduced engagement and a lack of clarity over the changes being proposed.		2						
			1						
imes it will have to make dec	degree the council cannot fully control the views that its stakeholders form. At isions that are unpopular, this can be due to the context of these decisions not			1	2	3	4		
hould be considered on the	ed, understood or just being disregarded by stakeholders. Management of this risk basis of the objective regard for and interest in the council its policies and its ys, media coverage, customer relations activity, etc.) and an assessment of the h listening and telling).	sk Impact							
	ed Demand for Adult's Services, Complexity and Demand for Children's Services,	Lead Service	Con	nmittee	: Corpo	rate Poli	cy Com	mittee	
Key Mitigating Controls:									

Communication & Media

- Ensure that information about the Council, its services and how to access them is easily available in a range of formats for a wide range of audiences
- Communications strategies for key projects, issues, decisions and service changes developed agreed and reviewed with senior stakeholders and decision makers (internal and external communication)
- Positive proactive communication across multiple channels to celebrate the council's successes and achievements.
- Comms programme is planned and reviewed over the short-term (daily) and the long-term (monthly / annually), including review of committee forward plans, council service plans, consultation and engagement programmes.
- Communications handling requirement for each service committee/full council meeting agreed with lead officer(s)
- Continue to develop proactive direct comms to be issued via e-mail / SMS we currently have 56,426 subscribers for 'push' notifications across a range of topics
- Regular internal communications to members and officers
- Monitoring and reporting of organisational reputation and sentiment, (social and traditional media). This includes weekly analysis report for senior managers.
- Monitor public sector press (e.g. MJ and LGC) and maintain and develop relationships with these media outlets to maximise opportunities for positive coverage.
- Communications and media function advised at an early stage of all future demand and emerging issues to enable effective planning
- Media training programme for key spokespersons
- Use performance management reports for council services and programmes to identify reputational opportunities and risks at an early stage.
- Providing a 24/7 emergency communications on call function
- Media relations protocol and approvals process including protocol(s) for partnership communications where required.

- Review communications business continuity, priorities and emergency / crisis comms protocols and plans
- Regular meetings with comms leads from public sector partner organisations to collaborate, share plans and intelligence
- Flexible use of social media and digital communication platforms

Consultation

- Endeavor to undertaken consultation when proposals are still at a formative stage.
- Design consultation which gives sufficient reasons for any proposal or change to permit stakeholders to undertake intelligent consideration and response to the
 options.
- Consultation and engagement activity will be used as evidence when making decisions and adequate time will be given between the end of a consultation and a decision is made, to allow for consideration of and where required, a response to, the output of a consultation or engagement.
- Equality Impact Assessments (EIA) are completed, appropriate for the purpose of use and that they are approved by Head of Service before any consultation can begin.
- Make it clear HOW consultation and engagement activity, EIA and other intelligence has been conscientiously taken into account when finalising the decision.
- Use the equality impact assessment toolkit, guidance, and template to provide clarity around what the equality impact assessment is and how it should be used.
- Equality champions to be supported by annual impact assessment training
- Resident surveys findings to be used to assess levels of resident satisfaction with the Council

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion
Communication & Media	

Review communications and engagement strategy in the context of Corporate Peer Challenge Action plan, new	Q3/Q4 2024/25 (aligned to new Cheshire East plan
	development)
six months – once a revised communications and engagement strategy has been approved and adopted)	
Provide communications support for transformation and improvement, financial management and	March 2025
implementation of MTFS proposals to ensure all stakeholders are well-informed about any changes to service	
and policy (Review annually)	
Consultation:	
Complete the service restructure to enable recruitment to vacant posts in the research and consultation team	2024/25
Better align the relationship between communication, engagement and consultation functions (Review annually)	Q3 2024/25
Explore options to strengthen resident engagement to inform decision making e.g. citizen/resident panel	October 2024
(Review annually)	
Develop a consultation and engagement programme (Review annually)	October 2024
Ensure that officers undertake regular training aligned with roles and responsibilities (Review annually)	March 2025

Comments this quarter:

Communication & Media: There have been a number of significant developments that have impacted on stakeholder perception of the organisation in Q2. These have included:

- Household Waste Recycling Centres review
- Parking review implementation
- Cllr Nick Mannion elected as Leader of the Council following Cllr Corcoran stepping down.
- Crewe Bus Station and town centre car park open
- Transformation Plan published
- Corporate Peer Challenge action plan published
- Senior management restructuring and staff changes

- Libraries strategy proposals and consultation
- Council funding pressures
- Crewe fire major incident
- Devolution talks with Government
- Armed Forces Covenant Gold Award

This period saw a number of decisions being taken that had been delayed due to pre-election period. This condensed a period of decision-making and services changes, meaning that stakeholders had a lot of change to understand and respond and adapt to. This period also saw a change of national government – we continue to monitor the new Government's policy agenda to assess impact on local government.

Consultation: Consultation during the period has continued to focus upon significant and high interest activities, such as food waste collection, library strategy development. There have been over 28,000 responses to consultation undertaken this financial year, illustrating the levels of engagement during the period.

Capacity within the team is still a challenge and needs to be addressed. There are likely to be several demanding consultations being undertaken in the next period as the Council seeks to undertake the MTFS consultation, develop a new Cheshire East Plan and Equality, Diversity and Inclusion strategy and support the actions from the Corporate Peer Review and transformation activities.

The development of a forward plan for consultation activity and an analysis of the validity of the Digital Influence Panel will ensure that the workload of the team can be prioritised, and that the robustness of the Panel can be reinforced. Both activities supporting key actions highlighted in the Corporate Peer Review.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: Q4 2024/25

, ,			cutive Director of Resourc
Risk Ref: SR13	Date Updated: 9 th October	Risk Manager: 10	CT Programme Managers
reduce costs and fulfil commun to a security breach, and, or los attacks by cyber-criminals. (Im ndividuals, legal, financial, and	ere is a risk that as the Council continues to move towards using ication, accessibility, and transaction requirements, (threat) it be so of information, either maliciously or inadvertently from within the pact) This could result in many negative impacts, such as loss o reputational damage to the Council, in addition to the possible p g it from delivering its Corporate Outcomes.	comes increasingly vulnerable 4 ne Council or from external f information, distress to 3	Net Target Gross Image: Image im
		1	2 3 4
			Impact
and Communication. It also ha	nas interdependencies with corporate risk Business Continuity ar s links to the Financial Resilience risk, as funds for maintenance ain on assets and resilience of information security controls.		mmittee: Corporate Polic
	te of and reports on Information Risk to the Corporate Leadershi Control of Information Risk.	p Team and the Audit and Governance Committe	ee and makes the Annual

- The Council has a number of Information and Data Security policies which are published on the Centranet and help to protect from the Council from inappropriate and unauthorised access and communicates what to do in the case of an incident. Policies; Information Security Policy Overview, ICT Access Policy, ICT Communications and Operations Policy, ICT Computer, Telephone and Desk Use Policy, ICT Email and Messaging Policy, ICT Flexible and Mobile Device Policy, ICT Incident management Policy, ICT Infrastructure Policy, ICT Internet Policy, ICT Legal Responsibilities for Data Policy, ICT Personnel Standards for Information Security, ICT Protection Policy, ICT Removable Media Policy and ICT Software Policy. Policies review and guidance materials updated to strengthen advice to staff on how to manage various information types
- Progress on Information Risk and Information Security is monitored through the Information Security Steering Committee (ISSC), Strategic Information Governance Group (SIGG) and the IG Collaboration Group.
- The Council has an Incident Reporting process which has been communicated to staff, all incidents are scored and assessed by SIGG to ensure that the breaches are minimised, and future breaches are reduced.
- The Council complies with the Public Services Network PSN Code of Connection, NHS Data Security and Protection Toolkit, DWP's MOU and NHS Digital controls, work continues with the consolidation and enhancement of elements of the security estate to meet the ever-developing threat profiles. This includes third party IT hardware and software tests undertaken by accredited security vendors, these validate that the network and hardware are secure and robust, if any vulnerabilities are found then a mitigation plan is drawn up and actioned.
- The Council has an Information Asset Register which is reviewed on an annual basis and has been published on the open data portal.
- There is also an Information Assurance Data Management (IADM) programme of activity to increase awareness and maturity of information assurance and governance across the Council. The programme is tasked with guiding the organisation to manage its information in a compliant and efficient way.
- Data Classification has been rolled out to the organisation; this allows the categorisation of information so that appropriate controls can be employed to protect the information.

- The Council provides security and compliance e-learning modules (which are mandatory for all employees) on the Learning Lounge. This includes several modules of Data handling, Cyber Security, and Information Assurance. There are also several best practice guides on the Councils Lighthouse on the best ways to use technology and to protect information. These modules and best practice guides are updated regularly to reflect changes in working practices and as a response to additional threats.
- In addition, proactive testing is carried out across the council to gauge the level of compliance and understanding of cyber best practice, this testing is followed up with additional support and training for those that need it. This process will raise the maturity and level of understanding to ensure that the Council has an adequate level of cyber readiness across its workforce.
- Controls are in place to restrict access to the data centres and network equipment and risk assessments of existing systems and networks are on-going.
- The Council's ICT Services have a strategic direction to move to a "Cloud First" principle, whilst this enables an evergreen environment which is always up to date, additional controls are needed to prevent compromise or inappropriate use and access. This includes contract compliance and monitoring to ensure ongoing protection of information. To support the strategic direction and architecture principles all technical solutions are reviewed at the Technical Design Authority to ensure correct alignment.
- In addition, the Council is moving to Zero Trust architecture, this is a direct result of increased threats posed to the working infrastructure. This shift is in line with the latest thinking and guidelines issued by the NCSC.
- In support of this a high-level business case for Infrastructure Investment of which Security & Compliance is an element was submitted and subsequently approved. This additional funding will be used to develop the necessary tools to start the implementation.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
Identity Management (Information Security Steering Committee (ISSC), Information Assurance and Data Management	March 2025 (Multiyear project)
(IADM))	
Application Management (Information Security Steering Committee (ISSC))	March 2025 (Multiyear project)
Data Security (Information Security Steering Committee (ISSC))	March 2025 (Multiyear project)
Data Quality (Information Assurance and Data Management (IADM))	March 2025 (Multiyear project)
Information Management (Information Assurance and Data Management (IADM))	March 2025 (Multiyear project)

Comments this quarter: No change to the risk rating currently. There is continued heightened risk currently through state sponsored attacks and increased ransomware activity. There has been activity to minimise any increase in the risk rating such as.

Several communications have been given to the organisation, its staff, and members to raise awareness on good security practises and techniques as well as assurance on how prepared the councils technologies and tools are.

- Briefings and presentations were given to the Audit and Governance Committee on the Council's cyber initiatives and protections.
- Several articles on cyber have been produced by the communication team as part of 'cyber security awareness' month.
- A new Cyber learning experience (prepared by NCSC) has been made available on Learning Lounge

Work is progressing of requirements for a Security Operations Centre (SOC) that can provide round-the-clock monitoring and protection of the council's network and systems.

Several sessions have been held to the wider leadership team as part of the Business Continuity Planning sessions, the sessions were scenario based with one of the themes being a cyber-attack. The scenario was preceded by a briefing describing similar attacks across the public and private sector. These sessions are invaluable to raise awareness and prepare services to reduce vulnerabilities.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: N/A

Risk Name: Business Continuity	Risk Owner: Director of Govern Compliance and Monitoring Offic								
Risk Ref: SR14 Date updated: Q2 Update	Risk M	Risk Manager: Head of Aud					& Assurar		
Risk Description: Failure to maintain business continuity after an unusual or unexpected, disruptive event or events. The risk that, some or all, of the council's services, projects or initiatives are unable to resume operations within the expected timeframes after a disruption. A disruptive event, or multiple events, may occur either in isolation, or across the whole organisation.									
organisation.		poq :	;			Net	Gross		
Detailed consequences: The council has a number of safeguarding obligations to its residents, a failure could result in it being unable to fulfil those obligations, and as such not protect them from mental or physical harm. Failures may also cau an unacceptable loss of local services.	se i	Likelihood	2			Target			
etailed causes: The unavailability of staff, ICT systems, equipment or a suitable working environment are the most mmon causes of a material failure. Many different social, economic, environmental or public health factors can drive these		1							
auses to manifest themselves. Underlying this may also be a failure to reasonably allocate resources to eliminate single oints of failure in these areas.					2 Impa	3 act	4		
Interdependencies (risks): Information Security and Cyber Threat, Pandemic Virus, Fragility in the Social Care Market, Council Funding, Organisational Capacity & Demand	Lead S Commi		ce Co	ommit	tee: (Corpora	te Policy		
 Key Mitigating Controls: BC Plans held for each service area – clear format, identifying critical and serious priority activities with recovery BC impact assessments undertaken across the organisation to understand challenges to service delivery ahead mitigation plans. High level course on Business Continuity Management has been added to the Council's Learning Lounge ICT Shared Service also have a Crisis Recovery Plan that has been updated to take account of lessons learned recovery plan overview contains key information for the ICT Shared Service disaster recovery 	of known e	even	ts, inf	-			-		
Ongoing liaison with Emergency Planning Shared Service	_	Target Date for Completion:							
Actions (Monitoring):			November 2024						
Actions (Monitoring): Review and refresh of the Business Continuity Framework (2-year review cycle, delayed start due to other work pressures involvement of BC workshops, policy framework changes more broadly)			2024		твс				
Actions (Monitoring): Review and refresh of the Business Continuity Framework (2-year review cycle, delayed start due to other work pressures involvement of BC workshops, policy framework changes more broadly) Development of SharePoint BC system (Work to begin January 2024 - began, but paused for scoping/testing of ideas	s, Novem		2024						
Actions (Monitoring): Review and refresh of the Business Continuity Framework (2-year review cycle, delayed start due to other work pressures involvement of BC workshops, policy framework changes more broadly) Development of SharePoint BC system (Work to begin January 2024 - began, but paused for scoping/testing of ideas through BC workshops) Rollout and training for the SharePoint BC system (2-year review cycle)	, Novem TBC Post S	ber hare	Point				nt		
Actions (Monitoring): Review and refresh of the Business Continuity Framework (2-year review cycle, delayed start due to other work pressures nvolvement of BC workshops, policy framework changes more broadly) Development of SharePoint BC system (Work to begin January 2024 - began, but paused for scoping/testing of ideas through BC workshops) Rollout and training for the SharePoint BC system (2-year review cycle) Work with Emergency Planning on scenario exercises (ad hoc pieces of work since March 2023 – and ongoing)	TBC Post S Began	ber hare Mar	Point ch 20	23 – 0	n-goir	ig			
Ongoing liaison with Emergency Planning Shared Service Actions (Monitoring): Review and refresh of the Business Continuity Framework (2-year review cycle, delayed start due to other work pressures involvement of BC workshops, policy framework changes more broadly) Development of SharePoint BC system (Work to begin January 2024 - began, but paused for scoping/testing of ideas through BC workshops) Rollout and training for the SharePoint BC system (2-year review cycle) Work with Emergency Planning on scenario exercises (ad hoc pieces of work since March 2023 – and ongoing) Comments this quarter: BC workshops have been scheduled in October – feedback from these sessions will be used to BC plans. Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: Q4 2023/24	TBC Post S Began	ber hare Mar	Point ch 20	23 – 0	n-goir	ig			

Risk Name: Capital Projects - Place			Risk Owner: Executive Director of Place								
Risk Ref: SR15	Date updated: 23rd Octobe	r 2024	Risk Manag	jer: F	Place Di	rectors a	and Bus	iness Manage			
isk Description: Failure to deliver major	r capital projects. (particular focus on Middlewich	Eastern Bypass)									
mpact: The council delivers a broad range of capi	tal projects in support of the aims and objectives	of its Corporate Plan.		4				Gross			
The delay to the DfT decision on the Midd	lewich Eastern Bypass and to the Council's Ca me delivery. It is impacting the ability to deliver	pital Programme Review						Net			
published in the Local Plan Strategy.	,		Likelihood	3				Target			
Continued delay, or ultimately cancellation of the MEB would have significant financial and reputational implications for the Council. Delays cause increased costs and affect affordability. The delivery uncertainty could lead to				2							
cancellation of major economic regeneration expended to date would need to be charge	cant costs (c£25m)		1								
significantly worsen he Council's current fi	nancial situation.				1	2	3	4			
	significant delays to the DfT decision and the co n inflation rates in construction, the uncertainty th					Im	pact				
nterdependencies (risks): Failure to Ach Economy	nieve the MTFS, CEC Carbon Neutral Status, Fa	ilure of the Local	Lead Servic Environmen					d Growth, /s and Transpo			
 Internal governance is in place to appropriate for a project of this si. At a strategic level, internal decis The overall Capital Strategy and the rest of the capital programme A capital programme review has 	ions were taken to support the resubmission of t overall Capital Programme is presented annually a. been underway for some time of all schemes inc	ts at a project level. These he Full Business case to th / as part of the Medium-Te cluded in the MTFS underw	ne departmer rm Financial vay to conside	nt for Stra	Transpeters to s	ort in Se show the	ptembe MEB p	r. roject alongsio			
Actions (Monitoring):		Target Date for Completi	on:								
.obbying at a political level for a quick pos incertainty (By CLT – regular performance	e updates)	November 2024									
brogramme and current cost estimates so decision (MEB monthly project board)	that construction can start asap after funding	November 2024									
nade by DfT by Feb 2025 to allow a 2025	ss case for MEB is scheduled to go to the DfT in start. Further delays will lead to increased costs isk of the council having to purchase the land red r and add to current financial issues.	and affordability / cancella	ation impacts	. The	recent	service	of a blig	ht notice by a			

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: Major capital projects by their nature are high risk. The controls are designed to proactively manage risks and mitigate their impact if a risk is realised. It is not realistic to expect the risk to be managed any lower.

Risk Name: Failure of the Local Economy			Risk Owner: Executive Director of Place								
Risk Ref: SR16	Date updated: 30 th Septemb	er 2024	Risk Manager: Head of Economic Development								
Risk Description: Failure of the local economy	·										
The risk that the local economy does not realise the ex commercial enterprises, residents and visitors. The bou (GVA), some areas of deprivation and is reliant on a nu	rough has a strong local economy, an above av		Likelihood	4 3							
Consequences: The failure of enterprises reduces the local money supply, reducing the standard of living for residents and council income. Secondary effects can include a reduction in public health and the loss of highly trained or skilled individuals. Consequences and causes, over the medium to long-term can have a symbiotic relationship, creating either an upward or				2	Net Target	Gross					
downwards spiral. An example of this is shops closing in a town centre, then reduced footfall resulting in further closures.											
Causes: The local economy is significantly driven by changes in the national economy, taxation and other government policies. However the failure to maintain parity, or offer benefits above other boroughs, can lead to a relatively larger economic downturn. As noted above poverty and deprivation, like other issues, can be both a consequence and cause causing a snowball effect.					1	2 Imp	3 Dact	4			
Interdependencies (risks): Capital Projects, HS2 Infra							e: Econ	omy and			
 Cheshire East Business support hub has been Business forum to engage and plan future sup Investment plans to support regeneration and Place marketing and inward investment. 	pport.										
Actions (Monitoring):		Target Date for Completion	า:								
 Launch of business support grants: (Quarterly returns t Repurposing our high street grants from Crew Decarbonisation grants for business New employment space grant 		March 2025									
 Comments this quarter: No change, the net score is due to political uncertainty across all indicators. Since t adapted to these exogenous shocks: The pound is increasing and is at highs comp FTSE performing strong Mortgages are being given out Nationwide for Post general elections there seems to be politional strong 	the general election, national commentators an ared to post Brexit periods the first time is lending on 6 x income.										
All this suggest that confidence in UK plc has returned. step in to rescue the economy, (Financial Crash and C • Set 1.5m target for house building and is putti • It is talking about industrial strategies		nent not austerity:			strated tv	vice this	century	it will			

- Devolution for areas that want it
- Rhetoric such as NHS is the HR dept of UK plc again provides confidence is about getting people back to work

All the above should provide stable investment Which will provide multiplier effects on the national and local economies and reinforce confidence. We are expecting white papers and bills including devolution its doom and gloom in LAs but not elsewhere.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: N/A

tisk Name: CEC Carbon Neutral Status				Risk Owner: Executive Director of Place							
Risk Ref: SR17	Date updated: 3 rd October 2024		Risk Manager: Head of Environmental Services								
Risk Description: Failure to achieve Carbon Neutral status secure statutory consents, seek viable and affordable solution	s for the Council by the 2027 milestone target due to requireme ons and other external market forces outside the Councils cont	nt to rol.		4				Gross Net Target			
Likelihood is based on a number of external factors, partner	ships and key outcomes being delivered in a timely manner.		Likelihood	3							
mpact will result in non-delivery of a key priority in the Council's Corporate Plan. It will also contribute to climate change emperature rise and severe weather events which could have an impact on public health and safety. It could also have financi- mplications with increased need for adaptation of key infrastructure for severe weather events across the borough.											
				1							
				-	1	2	3	4			
						lm	pact				
Interdependencies (risks): Economy and World Events, R		.ead S Commu			nmittee	e: Envir	onment and				
 Carbon Neutral Program established with Program Member Advisory group overseeing its delivery Annual update on progress reported to relevant co Climate change is a key consideration as part of our 				al pl	anning	policy					
Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for C	omplet	ion:								
	he corporate capital review, progress paused TBC										
Cheshire East Capital Spend Review (Awaiting outcome of th on 2nd Solar farm, next phase of EV and next tree planting p											
Cheshire East Capital Spend Review (Awaiting outcome of th on 2nd Solar farm, next phase of EV and next tree planting p											
on 2nd Solar farm, next phase of EV and next tree planting p Comments this quarter: The ongoing review of the Counci the ability to achieve the Council's commitment to be a carb		vented	the or	derir	g of the	e next p	hase o	f EV vehicl			

Risk Name: Local Planning Authority M			Risk Owner: Executive Director of Place								
Risk Ref: SR18	Date updated: 21 st October 2024		Risk Manager: Head of Planning								
Environment & Communities Committee ransformation of the service. This Plan The recommendations are many and va	the completion of the 'Local Planning Authority Review and Service Transformation' report and presentation to ent & Communities Committee on 31 October 2022 a Modernisation Plan has been developed to achieve the ation of the service. This Plan will carry forward the recommendations made, document actions and monitor progres mendations are many and varied but failure to complete the actions of the Modernisation Plan will undermine the ansformation of the service, perpetuating and potentially extending the issues identified and undermining the										
Detailed consequences: Failure to achie in its Local Planning Authority role, resu	eve completion of the Modernisation Plan will undermine the performance of It in non-compliance with statutory processes, and reputational damage to n within agreed timescales, the potential for additional budget pressure incl	the Council.	Likelihood	2		Target					
also 'doing the day job', Interim Service	o ensure completion of priority actions in the required timescales (staff and Review Lead/Planning Director limited contract duration, supplier resource internal and external stakeholders to affect necessary changes, lack of ove aring Group	e to deliver			1	2 3 4 Impact					
	onal Capacity and Demand, Reputation, Council Funding,		Lead Service Committee: Environmen Communities								
 Monitoring of progress against Regular meetings of Interim Di established to review workstrea Internal Audit reports on Comm IT System Project has its own 	s Report 31/10/2022; Local Planning Authority Review and Service Transfor Modernisation Plan by Transformation Board and Environment and Comminet rector of Planning and Head of Planning and team managers to review act am and focus priorities feeding into Transformation Board. nunity Infrastructure Levy and Section 106 will inform the scope of a memb Project Board attended by supplier. s / recruitment during manager's meetings.	nunities Commission logs and p	nittee. progress	on ke			g Group				
Actions (Monitoring):		Target D	ate for	Comp	letion						
IT System Project escalation due to brean edded)	ach of contract (Weekly team and fortnightly Project Board meetings or as	Q3 2024,	/25								
senior officers on older applications (Co	o assist with backlog. Backlog funding secured from DLUHC, intervention function of the monthly reporting on application backlog)										
Continued monitoring of resources – rec	cruitment to critical posts ahead of restructure (Weekly manager meetings)	Q4 2024	/25								
Modernisation Plan recast as Service In	nprovement Plan (Service Improvement Board reporting against workstrea	ms) Q4 2024	/25								
Comments this quarter: Risk remains	until IT system adoption but positive and tangible progress across many a	spects of the S	Service	Improv	ement P	lan has be	en made.				
	ober with Land Charges 4-6 weeks afterwards. Some system workarounds s as not provided by suppler in accordance with original specification. Plan										

applicable. Recruitment to vacancies to commence asap.

Application backlog remains static at just above the normal level of live applications (albeit caseload per officer remains high). S106 systems and processes completed with adoption across Services – ongoing refinement of system data to continue into Q3, but Ward reports ready for October. Various other customer and communication improvements including website enhancements and briefings to staff and members.
Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: 3-12 months (depending on priority)

Risk Name: Delivery of the ILACS Improvement Plan			Risk Owner: Executive Director of Services													
Risk Ref: SR19	Date updated: 21 st Octo	ober 2024	Risk Ma Board	nager:	Improvem	ent and	Impact									
findings from the Ofsted inspection in February and March 2024 inadequate. This would mean that vulnerable children and youn receive consistently good quality support. There is a significant reputational and financial risk to not delive inspection, further intervention measures may need to be put in Direction that another person or organisation performs the funct	February and March 2024, where children's services received an overall judgement of nerable children and young people in need of a children's social care service would not oort. financial risk to not delivering improvements. If services remain inadequate at the next tres may need to be put in place, for example escalation to a Statutory Direction notice, on hisation performs the functions on the local authority's behalf. These measures will have				tion: That children's services do not achieve the improvements needed at the necessary pace to address the the Ofsted inspection in February and March 2024, where children's services received an overall judgement of 'his would mean that vulnerable children and young people in need of a children's social care service would not stently good quality support. nificant reputational and financial risk to not delivering improvements. If services remain inadequate at the next rther intervention measures may need to be put in place, for example escalation to a Statutory Direction notice, or another person or organisation performs the functions on the local authority's behalf. These measures will have a st to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people's needs effectively.					ted inspection in February and March 2024, where children's services received an overall judgement of Id mean that vulnerable children and young people in need of a children's social care service would not good quality support. reputational and financial risk to not delivering improvements. If services remain inadequate at the next ervention measures may need to be put in place, for example escalation to a Statutory Direction notice, or person or organisation performs the functions on the local authority's behalf. These measures will have a			4 3 2 1			Gross Net Target
				1	2 Im	3 Ipact	4									
Interdependencies (risks): Increased Demand for Adult's Serv Achieve the MTFS	ices, Complexity and Demand for Child	ren's Services, Failure to	Lead Se Families			: Childre	en and									
 A comprehensive improvement plan is in place to address council's leadership team, members of the two children authorities, has been sought and incorporated into the Progress and impact against the improvement plan is r quality assurance and performance in relation to the plane. Reports on progress against the plan are provided to e 	i's committees, and full Council. Advice plan. nonitored monthly through an independ an. Independent improvement specialisi	from the LGA and Ofstee ently chaired Improveme ts from the DfE and LGA	d, along v nt and Im are mem	vith good pact Bo bers of t	d practice ard. This i	from oth	er									
Progress and impact will be externally evaluated through	h Ofsted monitoring visits and DfE revi	ews.	-													
Actions (Monitoring):		Target Date for Compl														
Monitor delivery and impact of the improvement plan (Improvem		6 weekly until April 2028	5													
Report to the Children and Families Committee on progress aga Children and Families Committee Meeting)	inst the improvement plan (At each	Every committee meetir	ng from S	eptembe	er											
Adapt the improvement plan to respond to areas for improveme (Improvement and Impact Board and Children and Families Cor		January 2025														
Provide scrutiny and challenge to the delivery of the improveme Leadership Team – Improvement and Performance Meetings (Ir Meetings)		Monthly from October 2	024													
Develop an independent team to quality assure progress and im (Improvement and Performance Meetings)	pact against the improvement plan	October 2024														
Review and develop the improvement plan to ensure this addres (Improvement and Performance Meetings)	sses the priority areas for improvement	November 2024														

Comments this quarter: Our Improvement Plan was submitted to Ofsted within the required timescales and we received feedback from them on our improvement plan in September. Ofsted said "Your action plan is detailed and addresses the areas for improvement identified in the inspection. It also demonstrates engagement with partners and the wider council." To strengthen our plan, Ofsted suggested that we include specific actions in each area on how we will coproduce improvements with children and young people. We have included additional actions on coproduction in the plan in line with their feedback and have sent an updated version back to Ofsted.

The Director for Improvement joined Cheshire East on the 30 September and will be overseeing progress and updates against the improvement plan going forward. As part of this work, the process of scrutiny and challenge to the plan has been reviewed and strengthened. New governance arrangements are now in place to support this going forward. All new policies, procedures, and strategies developed as part of the plan will be quality assured and signed off by the Quality Assurance Service acting as independent scrutiny and challenge. This will give additional assurance that work evidenced as completed has had the right level of scrutiny prior to implementation and provides confidence to the service that they are delivering quality work.

Finally, to ensure we utilise our resources, time and efforts efficiently work is being undertaken to review interdependencies within the improvement plan and this will include those where we require corporate and partnership support. Actions identified from internal assessment activities will also be added to the plan.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: April 2026

Risk Name: SEND Inspection					er: Exec	utive Dir	ector of	Children's
Risk Ref: SR20	Date updated: 29th Oct	ober 2024	Servic Risk N		ager: 0-2	25 SEND	and AF)
								Executive
			Oversi					
Risk Description: That as a partnership, Cheshire East's children's and adults								
an Ofsted and CQC area SEND inspection. This would mean that vulnerable cl				4				Gross
have been receiving consistently good quality support. There is also a significant	nt reputational and finan	cial risk to a poor						
inspection outcome.			g	3				Net
			Likelihood					
			ike					
				2				Target
					1	2	3	4
						Imp		
Interdependencies (risks): Increased Demand for Adult's Services, Complexity	ty and Demand for Child	lren's Services, Failure to	l ead 9	Serv	ice Con	mittee		
			Lead			innicoo.		
 Key Mitigating Controls: A partnership SEND inspection planning group is in place to drive and A self-evaluation of the quality of our SEND services has been complete be refreshed on at least a six monthly basis A SEND improvement team is being stepped up to drive service transitional se	eted ensuring we unders		ist the (Dfste	ed frame	work. Th	nis will c	ontinue to
 A project plan is being developed for the transformation activity, based 	d on the Safety Valve su	bmission and the SEND S	Strategy	/ pri	orities			
Actions (Monitoring):		Target Date for Compl	etion:					
Establish the SEND transformation plan, with delivery firmly underway (Bi-monthrough the SEND Partnership Board)	thly (every 2 months)	April 2025						
Refresh the self evaluation of SEND support (Six monthly through the SEND in	spection planning group) Refreshed every six mo	nths as	a m	inimum			
Comments this quarter: In September 2024, Children and Families Committe								
also noted plans for a new, single SEND and Alternative Provision Strategy and								
arrangements, including assigning initial delivery leads and improvement action								
require inclusion in the new Improvement Plan. Alongside this, work has contin								
continued to update and scrutinise the evidence that will be submitted to inspec SEND self-evaluation (due end of Q3 2024/25).	ctors under Annex A, an	a nave collated updates t	nat will	be r	equirea	for the h	ext itera	tion of our
Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: December 2024								